UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,161	10/26/2006	Tomohiro Murakoso	MAT-8897US	6176
52473 RATNERPRES	7590 01/28/201 TIA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980	CE DA 10492	BREVAL, ELMITO		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2889	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/594,161	MURAKOSO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ELMITO BREVAL	2889		

	ELMITO BREVAL	2889	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 January 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		20(-)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	"		6.1. 1.1. 6
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* "	npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	kplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-9.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea · and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Elmito Breval/	/Bumsuk Won/		
Examiner, Art Unit 2889	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2889	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the inpendent claim 1 "plural data electrodewherein respective ones of the discharge cells include a phosphor operable to emit a blue color, a phosphor operable to emit a red color, or a phosphor operable to emit a green color, and the opposite end portions of the data electrode corresponding to the respective one of the discharge cells including the phosphor operable to emit the blue color are wider than the opposite end portions of the data electrode corresponding to the respective one of the discharge cells including the phosphor operable to the red color" has narrowed the scope of the claim, which requires further consideration.